Hall Ticket No.----

UNIVERSITY OF HYDERABAD **DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY**

Ph.D. Entrance Examination, June, 2010

Time:	Max. Marks: 75							
Instru	nctions: The question paper consists of three parts: Part A, Part B and Par	t C.						
	Part A of the question paper consists of 25 objective (multiple-choice) type questions of one mark each. There is a negative mark of 1/3 for every wrong ans You must answer the questions in the question booklet itself.							
	Part B consists of 15 objective (multiple choice) type questions of based on reading and comprehension of a passage. There will be n marking for any wrong answer. You must answer the questions in booklet itself.	o negative						
	Part C has two sections consisting of short and long essay type q separate answer book is provided)	uestions. (A	A					
	Part A							
1.	Of the two principles "The Principle of Idenity of Indiscernibles" a of Indiscernibility of Identicals"	nd the "Prin	ıciple					
	(A) only the former is valid.(B) only the latter is valid.(C) both are valid.(D) neither is valid.	. [.]					
2.	According to the Correspondence Theory of Truth, truth is							
	 (A) epistemically unconstrained. (B) epistemically constrained. (C) epistemically neither constarined nor unconstrained. (D) none of the above. 	[]					
3.	According to the Positivists, scientific laws involve							
	(A) logical necessity.(B) physical necessity.(C) no necessity at all.(D) none of the above.	[]					

4.	The 'Is - Ought'	controversy owes its o	rigin to		
	(A) David Hume(B) Immanuel K(C) Rene Descar(D) Ludwig Witt	ant tes		[]
5.	According to Ka	nt, only Categorical Ir	nperative is		
	(D) entirely non-	morality. vance to moralitymoral in nature.	, and the second	[]
6.		Primary Qualities' of	onysical objects		
	(A) exist in the (B) in the mind (C) (A) + (B) (D) none of the a	of the observer.		[]
7.	For the validity	of the proposition 'p in	nplies q'		
	(B) the truth of (C) the truth of (q is a sufficient condit q is a necessary condit q is both a necessary a f q is a necessary cond	ion. nd a sufficient condition.	[]
8.	The concepts wl	nich Kant calls 'ideas o	of pure reason' are		
	(A) a posteriori(B) mathematica(C) concepts of(D) none of the	al concepts. pure understanding.		. []
9.	From the clues a	given below find out w	ho did not have any egg.		
	(ii) Arun ate dou (iii) If you subtr Sita, that is wha (iv) If you subtr	t Meera ate.	Ram from the total eggs of Sita from the total eggs ear		
	(A) Prem	(B) Jaya	(C) Meera	(D) Sita	
				. []

					3
10.	A butcher trapped some chickens and goat 160 feet inside the cage. How many chick	ts in a cage. The tens and goats die	re were sixty?	heads ar	nd
	(A) 20 chickens and forty goats.				
	(B) 30 chickens and thirty goats.				
	(C) 40 chickens and twenty goats.	•		_	_
	(D) none of the above.			L	J
saves	e friends Meena, Reena, and Tina have been a different amount (Rs. 30, Rs. 60, and Rs. mount in the piggy bank of another. Reena h	100) Meena's pi	ggy - bank co	ntans tv	Each vice
11.	Can you work out the amount each of the	m has saved?			
	(A) Meena – Rs. 30; Reena – Rs. 100; Tin	na Rs. 60			
	(B) Meena – Rs. 60; Reena – Rs. 100; Tin	na – Rs. 30			
	(C) Meena - Rs. 100; Reena Rs. 60; Tina	− Rs. 30		-	
	(D) none of the above.			[]
12.	A king had a parrot that he used to keep in empty. Somebody had set the bird free. and Toe. They replied thus:	n a golden cage. The king asked h	One day he fois three jesters	ound the s, Tic, T	e cage ac,
	Tic: Tac set it free. Tac: I did.	Toe: I	had nothing	to do w	ith it.
	Later it was found that only one of them h	nad told the truth	. Who set it fi	ree?	
	(A) Tic (B) Tac	(C) Toe	(D) none of	the abo	ve
•	$\sqrt{\chi} \theta_{1}$,	[]
Ther	re are four friends W, X, Y, Z. They drink twees being Amir and Shah Rukh. They drive a	vo soft drinks, Co bike and a car.	oke and Pepsi	with fa	vourite
	W drives a bike but not a car, drinks Coke X drives both and drinks Pepsi and likes Y drives car and drinks nothing but likes Z drives nothing, drinks nothing but likes	Shah Rukh. both Amir and S	hah Rukh.		
Now	answer questions 13- 17:				
13.	W and Z always go together so they watc	ch movie a	nd go by	-,	
	(A) Shah Rukh, car.				
	(B) Amir and Shah Rukh, bike.				
	(C) Shah Rukh, bike.			r	
	(D) Amir, bike.			i	J
				11.	- 65
•	•			U	دں

							4
14.	If Z has to	watch a Shah R	Rukh movie, an	d has a bi	ike, who does he	go with?	
	(A) Y	(B) W	(C) X	(D)W	V or X		
						[]
15.	Which of t	he following is	true?				
	(ii) Among	cs coke, because the four friend g the four friend	ls, those who lo	ove Shah	Rukh drink Peps si drive a bike.	i.	
	(A) (i)	(B) (ii)	(C) (iii)	(D) ((i) and (ii)		
	•					[]
16.	Which of t	he following is	true?				
		son driving a carson who likes		ves a bike).		
	(A) (i)	(B) (ii)	(C) Both a	re true	(D) Neither is	true.	
						[]
17.	If Y goes f	for Amir movie	on bike, then l	ne goes w	vith:		
	(A) W	(B) X	(C) Z	(D) V	W and Z	r	1
				• 1		[J
18.	'Sound is e	ternal', 'becaus	e it is caused'	commit th	ne fallacy of		
	(A) Viruda (B) Asiddh						
	(C) Savyal (D) Praka					[]
19.	, ,	tage of astanga	yoga does the	distinction	n between the kn	ower, the kn	own
		prajnasamadhi					
	(B) Savitk	tarasamadhi adasamadhi					
	` '	iaasamaani itasamadhi				[]
20.	According	to Samkhya, p	<i>urusa</i> is	•			
	` ,	ious and active				()-	-65-
	` '	scious and acti ious and inactiv					

U-65

5

Part B

Marks 15

The passage below is followed by questions based on its content. After reading the passage, choose the best answer to each question. Answer all questions on the basis of what is <u>stated</u> or implied in that passage. Each question is worth one mark.

Our ability to communicate using language is often cited as the element that sets us apart from other animals. Although language is not uniquely human in all aspects dogs and apes, for example, can learn the meaning of many words it almost certainly merits special status. This is because, more than any other attribute, language was probably key to the development of the set of traits that makes human unique.

The evolution of language probably occurred in concert with the evolution of many of the other traits we associate with being human, such as the ability to fashion tools or a strong propensity to learn. If this is true, it suggests that we shouldn't be trying to understand one characteristically human trait in isolation from the others. Moreover, instead of the brain being a collection of separate modules, each dedicated to a specific trait or capacity, humans are likely to have a complex cognitive architecture that is highly interconnected on multiple levels.

Enhanced communication would have aided humans at least as far back as the Late Pleistocene, around 120,000 years ago. By this point, humans were proficient at hunting large game. Indeed, the advantages that groups of hunters would have derived from better communication may have helped drive the evolution of language at first. But language was almost certainly later co-opted for a wide array of activities. The diversity of behaviours that appeared during the Late Pleistocene, including fishing, use of pigments, and tool and weapon making, as well as the rate at which they emerged, suggest that by the time humans acquired the full set, they could also communicate using complex language.

Many of these developments had a clear social context: making spear points or using pigments, for example, must have relied on learning from other group members. Studies of chimpanzees show that without language, the spread of knowledge in basic tool using tasks, such as using a stone hammer and an anvil to crack a nut, is highly inefficient.

In fact, the bulk of our grammatical machinery enables us to engage in the kinds of social interaction on which the efficient spread of these tasks would have depended. We can combine sentences about who did what to whom, who is going to do what to whom, and so on, in a fast, fluent and largely unconscious way. This supports the notion that language evolved in a highly social, potentially cooperative context, involving and requiring at least three attributes: shared attention, shared intentionality and theory of mind. In other words, individuals would have been able to pay attention to the same scene or object as others; be aware that they must act as a group in order to achieve a common goal; and attribute mental states to others as well as to themselves.

The probable emergence of modern language in the context of these other capacities points to the evolution of a uniquely human set of traits. We've barely begun to probe the architecture of this 'suite', but there is little to suggest that each capacity evolved one by one, or that they could be lost independently without harming at least some other traits in the set.

Take cooperation. In humans, practices such as staying faithful to one sexual partner and sharing food suppress competition within groups. These can be upheld more easily with language, because language means details can be agreed on and conflicts cleared up. Hunting

in packs is more efficient if hunts can be planned and plans communicated. And both cooperation and communication using language are easier if people can pay attention to the same thing, are aware that others have states of mind that may differ from their own, and realize that they need to act as a group.

Moreover, some of the traits in the suite require very similar types of operation, language is not critical for making tools; the steps involved can be spread by non-verbal teaching and imitation, or learnt through individual experience. But, in the same way as syntax, the action grammar of complex manipulations involves hierarchical processing. When we fashion a tool, just as when we form a sentence, we construct it from simpler units.

Evidence supporting the close-knit evolution of traits comes, for example, from experiments showing that people who struggle with grammar also have difficulties drawing hierarchical structures, such as a layered arrangement of matches.

In addition, recordings of brain activity suggest that the same cognitive structures are involved in linguistic processing and tool making. In a recent study, a group of people was asked to make a specific type of ancient stone axe, which require different types of work to be done is a specific order. Brain images taken during the process revealed activation in a region in the right hemisphere. This is analogous to a region in the left hemisphere called Broca's area that is involved in language. The right hemisphere area is also known to take on language processing duties when the left hemisphere is damaged at an early age.

(excerpted from "Language: a social history of words", E. Szathmary and S. Szamado, Nature, 456, 6 Nov., 2008, pp. 40-1)

1.	According to the author, the ability to use language is an aspect that is insufficient to
	set humans apart from other animals because

(A)	animals	can	learn	the	meaning	of many	words.

- (B) many humans do not use words.
- (C)(A) + (B)
- (D) none of the above.

The author suggests that importance of language as a marker between humans and 2. other species is to be sought in

- (A) the difference in the languages that humans use and the other species use.
- (B) the important role language probably played in developing other key human traits.
- (C) how different languages of humans reflect different cultural groups.
- (D) none of the above. ſ
- Likelihood of the evolution of language being in sync with the evolution of other 3. human traits like making tools allows one to conjecture that
 - (A) there is still a very high probability that these traits evolved independently and separately.
 - (B) the evolution of language must have played the most significant causal role in developing a trait like propensity to learn.
 - (C) the evolution of language and other human traits must be investigated as a group. 1
 - (D) none of the above.

1

1

4.	The likelihood of several human traits evolving in tandem further suggest	sts that	
	(A) in animals some of these traits evolved independently and separately (B) at least in animals the evolution of the language trait had no influence evolution of tool making trait.	e on the	
	(C) a human brain is not a collection of independent modules with no sig	nifican	t , ,,
	interaction among these modules.		_
	(D) none of the above.	[]
5.	By the late Pleistocene period		
	(A) humans had developed enhanced communication as a result of profic acquired in hunting large games.	eiency	
	(B) humans had developed proficiency in hunting large games as a result communication.	t of enh	anced
	(C) (A) and (B)		
	(D) none of the above.	[]
6.	During the late Pleistocene period	-	
	 (A) the development of diversity of human behaviours e.g. tool making of use seems to be correlated with the development of complex language. (B) the rate of emergence of diversity of human behaviours e.g. fishing of making seems to be correlated with the development of complex language. (C) (A) and (B) (D) none of the above. 	or weap	
7.	The development of complex behaviours in humans during the late Pleis	tocene	period
	 (A) was a result of rare social interactions among humans. (B) was a result of social behaviour e.g. learning among humans. (C) was independent of any social interactions among humans. (D) none of the above]]
8.	The machinery of language, used by humans, actually		
	(A) helps in talking to each other expressing what happens around in a so (B) helps in learning from other group members about performing tasks making.		
	(C) helps in efficient spread of various tasks across groups.(D) All of the above	[]
9.	The evolution of language, in humans, is predicated upon acquiring		
	(A) the awareness that a human agent himself or herself has mental state (B) the awareness that other humans in the group also possess mental state (B) The delay of the state (B) and (B) are the state (B) and (B) are the state (s. ates.	
	(C) Both (A) and (B)	[1
	(D) none of the above.	L	J
10.	The social context of the evolution of language, in humans, is to be local	ted in	
	(A) the ability to share attention to the same scene or object.(B) the shared intentionality.	U	-64

	(C) Both (A) and (B)	r	-
	(D) none of the above.	Ĺ	j
11.	Practices that support cooperation, a strong social behviour, and suppre	ss comp	etition
	(A) seem to be a result from the use of language.(B) seem to be evolutionarily useful.		
	(C) seem to be an attribute that could have evolved without the attendar language.	nt evolu	tion of
	(D) seem to be a purely accidental fact of human evolution.	[]
12.	The similarity between the construction of a sentence and making a too	ol is that	both
	(A) need language.		
	(B) involve generating complexity through hierarchical processing on s	simpler i	ınıts.
	(C) are uniquely human attributes.(D) none of the above.	[]
13.	The strong linkage among the various attributes like language or tool n	naking e	tc.
	(A) is further confirmed by the fact that animals also use language and (B) is that humans are developing new tools with the help of new language (C) is reflected by the observations that lacunae in the grammatical abis some humans also are strongly correlated with their inability to handle	iages. lity amo	ng
	structures. (D) none of the above.	[]
14.	Brain imaging techniques seem to show that		
	(A) people can make specific type of ancient tools.(B) in making tools people follow a specific order.(C) Broca's area is implicated in tool making.	r	1
	(D) none of the above holds.	L	J
15.	That the same cognitive structure is involved in language processing as	nd tool n	naking
	(A) is argued by pointing out that language processing is sometimes taright hemisphere of the brain which is involved in tool making.	ken ovei	by the
	(B) is argued by pointing out that brain is involved in both tasks. (C) is argued by pointing out that language processing happens in the language processi	Broca's	area
	and tool making involves the right hemisphere. (D) is not confirmed by any of the above.	Г	1

PART C

Section 1 Marks 15

Write short notes on any three of the following in 250 words each. All questions carry equal marks.

- 1. What is the criterion for reality according to the Vaisesika school of thought?
- 2. What is the criterion for being an apta according to Vatsyayana?
- 3. Analyze the statement, according to Sankhya, purusa gets liberation.
- 4. Write an essay on the objections made by Ramanuja against Sankara's concept of Maya.
- 5. Explain the nature of samanya. How is it known according to Nyaya?
- 6. Bring out the distinction between prescriptive and descriptive metaphysics.
- 7. What is the regularity theory of causality? What are the objections against it?
- 8. Explain Aristotle's doctrine of The Golden Mean.
- 9. Explain the salient feature(s) of the problem of Personal Idenity.
- 10. What is a mechanical decision procedure? Explain whether and, if so, why there is any mechanical decision procedure to determine the validity of an argument both in sentential logic and predicate logic. If not, why not.

Section 2 Marks 20

Answer any two of the following in 500 words each. All questions carry equal marks.

- 1. Examine the nature and number of pramanas in Indian philosophy.
- 2. Discuss the Buddhist Nyaya controversy on the concept of substance (dravya).
- 3. What are the conditions of a valid sentence and how the meaning of a sentence is known according to Nyaya philosophy?
- 4. Why does Quine think that the notion of analyticity cannot be defined? State and evaluate his arguments.
- 5. Discuss Realism as a general metaphysical theory with special reference to its theory of truth.
- 6. Discuss and evaluate Wittgenstein's private language argument.

U-65